

Commentaria Classica
Studi di filologia greca e latina

PUBLICATION ETHICS AND MALPRACTICE STATEMENT
(in compliance with current guidelines adopted by
Committee on Publication Ethics [COPE])

Commentaria Classica is a scientific journal that applies peer review and respects the following code of ethics.

DUTIES OF THE MEMBERS OF THE MANAGEMENT, EDITORIAL AND SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE.

The Direction of Classical Commentary is responsible for the decision to publish or not the material sent by the authors. In making this decision, the Direction takes into account the opinion of anonymous reviewers, chosen from among the most qualified specialists in the sector, and of the members of the Scientific Committee.

The Direction evaluates the material sent for publication exclusively on the basis of its content without discrimination based on race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnic origin, citizenship, political orientation of the authors.

The Direction and the members of the Editorial Staff and the Scientific Committee undertake not to disclose information on the material proposed for publication.

The Direction and the members of the Editorial Board and the Scientific Committee undertake not to use the contents of the material proposed for publication in their own research without the written consent of the author.

The Direction and the members of the Editorial Staff and Scientific Committee undertake not to disclose the identity of the consulted reviewers to the authors of peer-reviewed articles, and that of the authors to the reviewers.

DUTIES OF THE REVIEWERS.

Peer review is a procedure that supports and integrates the assessments of the Direction and the Scientific Committee. This procedure

allows authors to improve and enrich their works, it being understood that the final decision regarding the opportunity to publish the contributions rests with the Direction.

The reviewer who does not feel adequate for the proposed task or who deems he cannot carry out the reading in the required time is required to promptly notify the Direction.

Any contribution sent to the reviewer must be considered confidential. The reviewer also undertakes not to use the contents of the material proposed for publication in his research.

The peer review must be carried out objectively and the reviewers are required to adequately justify their opinions. The reviewer must also report to the Direction any similarities or overlaps of the text received for reading with other works known to him.

Reviewers are required not to accept for reading works for which there is a conflict of interest due to previous collaborative or competitive relationships with the author and / or his / her institution.

DUTIES OF THE AUTHORS.

The author is solely responsible for the contents of his publication.

The author undertakes to declare that he has composed an original work in its entirety and that he has cited all the texts used.

In any case, the author may not propose a text already published, or in print, in another location, or a reworking of the same to *Commentaria Classica*

The author must always provide the correct indication of the sources of the images and documents published and undertakes to independently request the necessary authorizations for reproduction and to provide a copy to the Direction.

The authorship of each work must be correctly indicated and all those who have actively contributed to the design and implementation of the published research must be mentioned as co-authors. Those who participated significantly in specific phases of the research must also be remembered.

Should an author identify a significant error or inaccuracy in one of his contributions, he must promptly inform the Direction so that any corrections can be published.

OTHER ASPECTS.

For further aspects, please refer to the guidelines in force adopted by the *Committee on Publication Ethics* (COPE: <https://publicationethics.org>), which are fully implemented.